Simultaneous Den Use by Arctic Foxes and Wolves at a Den Site in Nunavut, Canada
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ABSTRACT. Arctic foxes (Alopex lagopus) and wolves (Canis lupus) often use similar den sites. Interspecific interactions and competition for den sites are therefore possible among these species. At the Kangowan River in Nunavut, Canada, we observed arctic foxes and wolves simultaneously using a den site for pup-rearing during a two-day period in the summer of 2000. We also found evidence that both species had used the den site in May that year. Interspecific interactions in summer included avoidance, tolerance, and aggression. Foxes and wolves used separate entrances and did not appear to share a common space. Our observations of arctic foxes and wolves occupying a den site concurrently suggest that avoidance and interspecific tolerance may have facilitated coexistence at this den site.
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INTRODUCTION

Interspecific interactions among canid species vary significantly, ranging from avoidance and tolerance to aggression and predation (Palomares and Caro, 1999; Linnell and Strand, 2000). The theory of interspecific interactions predicts that dominant predators typically eliminate smaller subordinate predators through either aggression or predation (Palomares and Caro, 1999; Linnell and Strand, 2000). However, tolerant interactions between predators may facilitate coexistence (Linnell and Strand, 2000).

Interactions between arctic foxes (Alopex lagopus) and wolves (Canis lupus) are poorly described, yet both species use similar den sites. Arctic foxes prefer to use dens excavated in sandy, well-drained substrate for parturition and pup-rearing (Audet et al., 2002). Wolves also use dens excavated in earth and are known to use and modify dens of other animals, including those of foxes, for parturition and pup-rearing (Carbyn and Paquet, 2003). Thus, similarities in den use by these two species may result in interspecific competition for den sites. Here we report on an observation of arctic foxes and wolves concurrently using a den site for pup-rearing in the summer of 2000.

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

Our observations were made at the Kangowan River (67˚12’ N, 100˚32’ W) in the Queen Maud Gulf Bird Sanctuary (QMGBS), Nunavut, Canada, in the spring and summer of 2000. The Kangowan River lies within the central Arctic lowland, which consists of gently rolling tundra dominated by rock outcrops, drumlins, sedge meadows, and marshes, interrupted by shallow tundra ponds and lakes (Ryder, 1972). The QMGBS is the largest bird sanctuary in the world, and it is an important nesting ground for numerous migratory birds, especially waterfowl (Kerbes, 1994). Other carnivores present in the area
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RESULTS

We observed two adult wolves with two pups and two adult arctic foxes with at least nine pups using a den site at Kangowan River concurrently from 4 to 6 July 2000. We recorded a total of 20 entrances at the den site on 5 July, of which six were large entrances (ca 0.5 m in diameter). Wolf pup activity was concentrated around one large entrance, whereas fox pup activity was scattered among other entrances of the den site. We did not observe wolf pups and fox pups on top of the den at the same time. The entrance with wolf pup activity was 3 m away from the closest entrance with fox pup activity. On 9–10 July, arctic foxes were still present at the den site; we did not observe any wolves, however, and we suspect that the wolves had moved their pups to another location. We observed evidence of both species having used the den site on 17 May, when two entrances were open: one small fox-sized entrance (ca 0.15–0.2 m in diameter) and another larger entrance (ca 0.5 m in diameter). There were also fresh wolf tracks, one fresh wolf scat, fresh fox tracks, and fresh fox scats at the den site.

On 6 July at 00:08, we observed an adult wolf at the den site. An adult arctic fox followed the wolf, barking and biting at its hind legs, apparently attempting to draw the wolf away from the den site. The wolf in turn chased the fox for a distance of about 100 m. Wolves otherwise appeared tolerant of foxes. At 00:12, a female wolf (enlarged teats) approached the den site with the first wolf, while the adult fox ran out of sight. When the female wolf approached the large entrance where we had previously observed activity by wolf pups, two wolf pups emerged and began suckling the female at the entrance. Wolf pups suckled for five minutes until the female wolf detected the observer and moved a few hundred meters away from the den site. She returned to the den site at 01:00. After the female wolf had left the den site, one adult arctic fox was seen watching the den from about 200 m away while the wolf pups explored around the large entrance. Adult arctic foxes appeared cautious and tended to avoid the large entrance with wolf pup activity both before and after these interactions. We saw no aggressive interactions between adult foxes and wolf pups.

DISCUSSION

Arctic foxes and wolves often use similar den sites (Audet et al., 2002; Carbyn and Paquet, 2003). To our knowledge, however, simultaneous use of a den site by arctic foxes and wolves has not been documented previously.

Wolves and arctic foxes used the den site at Kangowan River simultaneously for at least two days. Moreover, there was also sufficient wolf and fox activity in the spring to suggest use for parturition by both species. However, the extent to which each species used this den site during spring and summer is not known. We suspect that foxes would probably not risk predation of their pups by relocating them to a den site where wolves were already raising their pups. This conclusion follows observations that red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) avoid raising pups in areas where coyotes (Canis latrans) travel and raise pups (Voigt and Earle, 1983; Sargent et al., 1987). Similarly, Tannerfeldt et al. (2002) found that arctic foxes avoid breeding in the vicinity of red foxes and that their young suffer greater risk of depredation the closer they are to red fox dens. Thus, we question the potential of wolves and arctic foxes to use a den site for parturition and pup-rearing concurrently for nearly two months.

Given the size advantage of wolves, one might expect them to kill, exclude, or cause avoidance behaviour in arctic foxes. Instead, wolves at the den site at Kangowan River appeared tolerant of foxes, except when chasing the fox that bit one of the wolves. Similar tolerance by coyotes and wolves towards red foxes has been observed (Sargeant and Allen, 1989; Peterson, 1995). Wolves generally move their pups from natal dens to rendezvous sites when pups are 6–10 weeks of age (Carbyn and Paquet, 2003). Arctic foxes at the den site at Kangowan River may therefore have had a stronger association with the den site than the wolves had, which may explain why the foxes expressed more aggressive behaviour than expected, given their size disadvantage. Note, however, that the outcome of interspecific interactions reported here might have been different if observations had been made when sharing of the den site was established, or if sharing of the den site had progressed further.

Smaller carnivore species occasionally kill the young of larger carnivore species (Palomares and Caro, 1999). However, arctic foxes at the den site at Kangowan River appeared to tolerate or avoid the unattended wolf pups. Similarly, wolves showed considerable tolerance towards adult foxes at the den site even though wolf pups were present. Again, we stress that we did not detect all interactions that occurred at this den site (see above). However, we suggest that avoidance and interspecific tolerance expressed by both foxes and wolves, on an occasion when interference interactions could be most intense, may have facilitated coexistence at this den site.
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