A Case of Offspring Adoption in Free-Ranging Polar Bears (Ursus maritimus)
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ABSTRACT. During a study of the reproductive ecology of polar bears (Ursus maritimus) in western Hudson Bay (Canada), we documented a case of litter adoption. In an eight-month period, a ten-year-old adult female lost a litter of two cubs-of-the-year and adopted three other cubs-of-the-year. This is the first reported case of natural offspring adoption in polar bears, and its significance as a reproductive strategy is unknown. Nevertheless, the observation raises questions regarding the social circumstances under which adoption may occur and the benefits or costs to maternal fitness in a solitary mammal such as the polar bear.
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RÉSUMÉ. Au cours d’une étude portant sur l’écologie de la reproduction des ours polaires (Ursus maritimus) dans la partie ouest de la baie d’Hudson (Canada), on a documenté un cas d’adoption de litière. Au cours d’une période de huit mois, une femelle adulte de dix ans a perdu sa litière de deux petits âgés de moins d’un an et adopté trois autres petits de l’année. Cet événement est le premier cas d’adoption naturelle que l’on ait rapporté chez l’ours polaire, et on n’en a pas encore mesuré l’importance en tant que stratégie de reproduction. L’observation soulève cependant des questions concernant les conditions sociales dans lesquelles peut se produire l’adoption et les avantages ou les coûts pour la santé maternelle chez un mammifère solitaire tel que l’ours polaire.
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The polar bear (Ursus maritimus) is a large, solitary carnivore that inhabits arctic and subarctic sea ice and adjacent terrestrial regions (DeMaster and Stirling, 1981). This sexually dimorphic mammal appears to have a polygynous mating system, in which parental care is provided exclusively by females (Ramsay and Stirling, 1986). Relatively little is known, however, about the reproductive strategies of female polar bears (Ramsay and Stirling, 1988). Here we report an observation of offspring adoption in this species.

We routinely sampled free-ranging polar bears at different seasons as part of a long-term study of polar bears in the Cape Churchill region of western Hudson Bay, Canada. A Bell 206B helicopter was used to search for and capture the polar bears. Animals older than one year were anaesthetized by remote injection of Telazol® (A.H. Robins Co., Richmond, VA, U.S.A.) using standard methods (Stirling et al., 1989). Cubs-of-the-year were anaesthetized by hand or jab-pole injection of Telazol®. Unmarked bears were assigned a unique identifying number, which was applied as a tattoo on both left and right buccal surfaces of the upper lip and also as two Delrin® ear tags. Adult females were fitted with very high frequency (VHF) radio transmitters to allow relocation. A vestigial first premolar tooth was extracted from adults and subadults for age determination (Grue and Jensen, 1979).

After research activities were complete, we left the bears, which were allowed to recover normally. On 5 March 1994, a ten-year-old adult female polar bear was captured as she emerged from an over-winter maternity den with her litter of two 3-month-old cubs. The mother, identified by numerically coded ear tags and lip tattoos applied during previous captures, was lactating heavily. Both cubs were fitted with uniquely identifying ear tags and lip tattoos. On 28 October 1994, after presumably spending the spring and early summer hunting seals on the sea ice, the same adult female was relocated by radio telemetry and again captured as part of our longitudinal study. She was still lactating but was now accompanied by three 10-month-old cubs, none of which had ear tags or lip tattoos or displayed any physical evidence of having been previously captured. Over the following month, this family group was resighted on two separate occasions. While it is not unusual for a female bear to lose an entire litter of new cubs within their first year of life (Derocher and Stirling, 1992), this is, to the best of our knowledge, the first reported case of natural adoption in polar bears.

Non-offspring nursing (i.e., the provision of milk for another individual’s offspring) is not uncommon among mammals, but it is most often observed in species which rear...
their offspring within discrete social groups or large aggregations (Pusey and Packer, 1994). In these instances, members of a group are sometimes closely related, or there may be reciprocal benefits to communal rearing (Packer et al., 1992). Adoption, however, is a particularly extreme form of non-offspring nursing that, for solitary species such as the polar bear that exhibit a relatively long lactation period, could have significant costs in terms of maternal fitness (Clutton-Brock et al., 1989). Depending upon its timing relative to the annual mating period (April–May), the loss of a litter would normally permit an adult female polar bear to commence a new reproductive cycle within a year. In contrast, the adoption and eventual weaning of cubs could delay further reproduction for at least two and possibly up to three years (Ramsay and Stirling, 1988; Derocher and Stirling, 1992), thereby considerably reducing lifetime reproductive success.

Alternatively, a number of potential benefits may be associated with adoption in mammals (Riedman, 1982). Although lifetime reproductive success might be reduced in animals that adopt offspring, maternal inclusive fitness could be enhanced if the adopted individuals were closely related to their foster mother (Hamilton, 1964). Unfortunately, in the case we report here, the degree of relatedness between the adult female and her adopted cubs could not be determined. Although polar bears do not appear to maintain stable home ranges, but instead wander over exceptionally large areas during winter and spring in search of prey (Ramsay and Stirling, 1986; Garner et al., 1990), in the western Hudson Bay population pregnant polar bears do tend to enter maternity dens in the vicinity of their birthplace and return to those same regions in the summers when they are accompanied by cubs (Ramsay and Andriashek, 1986). Thus, it is possible that during the summer and autumn months polar bears in our study population may have an increased opportunity to encounter closely related individuals (i.e., mother, sisters, aunts). Proximity of kin would tend to increase the likelihood of meeting Hamilton’s (1964) conditions for the evolution of apparently altruistic behaviour. More subtly, even if a mother is unrelated to her adopted litter, experience gained by providing care to adopted cubs might increase her success in future reproductive attempts and outweigh the costs of delaying reproduction (Riedman, 1982; Riedman and Le Boeuf, 1982; Sydeman et al., 1991). This ten-year-old female bear, however, was known to have had at least three litters prior to the adoption event. Rearing of the adopted litter might thus have improved parenting skills only to a limited extent in this already experienced female.

Rather than reflecting an adaptive behavioral strategy, adoption may in some cases be viewed as a “misplaced reproductive function” or reproductive error by a foster parent (Williams, 1966; Riedman, 1982). Particularly during the early stages of lactation, female polar bears that have recently lost their offspring may still be hormonally primed for providing parental care and therefore prone to adopting any available cubs they encounter. Furthermore, as polar bears do not typically raise their offspring under social conditions, selection pressures for a well-developed mechanism of mother-offspring recognition may be relatively weak (Breed and Bekoff, 1981; Holmes, 1990). Consequently, the potential for misidentification and adoption of non-kin may be significant at times when the offspring of a number of females are in close proximity. In brown bears (U. arctos), cub adoptions sometimes occur during seasonal salmon runs, when family groups congregate to feed (Erickson and Miller, 1963; Barnes and Smith, 1993). However, unlike the incident we describe here, reported adoptions in brown bears have not involved the replacement of an entire litter (Craighead et al., 1969; Barnes and Smith, 1993). Because the polar bears of western Hudson Bay are forced on shore each summer by the complete melting of the sea ice, they may briefly encounter higher densities of conspecifics than polar bears further north (Latour, 1981). Similarly high densities of polar bears on land are also known to occur in Svalbard (Larsen, 1985) and Wrangel Island (Uspenski and Kistchinski, 1972).

Routine determination of the relatedness of cubs to putative mothers using microsatellite genetic markers (Paetkau et al., 1995) or other molecular fingerprinting techniques might allow a quantitative assessment of frequency of adoption within polar bear populations. Other incidences of cub adoption among polar bears have been observed in Canada, but none have previously been documented. Since our observation, two cubs were captured with an 11-year-old female on 27 April 1994, in the Canadian Arctic islands. Almost a year later, on 10 May 1995, these same two cubs were recaptured in the same area, accompanied by a different adult female (M. Taylor, pers. comm. 1995). Despite the apparent rarity of adoption in polar bears, its occurrence raises questions about the social conditions under which it takes place, its timing relative to the mating period and stage of lactation, and finally its effects on maternal fitness in this supposedly solitary mammal.
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