Organophosphate Esters in the Canadian Arctic Air -Conclusions from Seven Years of Observations

Roxana Sühring ^{1,2}, Miriam L. Diamond ¹, Martin Scheringer ³, Fiona Wong⁴, Monika Pucko ⁵, Gary Stern ⁵, Hayley Hung ⁴, Phil Fellin⁶, Henrik Li⁶, Liisa M. Jantunen ⁴ ¹University of Toronto, ²Cefas, ³ETH Zürich, ⁴Environment and Climate Change Canada, ⁵University of Manitoba, ⁶AirZOne Contact: Liisa Jantunen: liisa.jantunen@canada.ca

Conclusions

- Concentrations of organophosphate esters (OPEs) in the Canadian Arctic air exceed those of the brominated flame retardants (BFRs) by orders of magnitudes
- Chlorinated OPEs (Cl-OPEs) in air seem to be primarily transported via the water-phase (rivers/oceanic currents)

Introduction

- The Canadian Arctic is under pressure from climate change, industrial/oil/gas exploitation, destruction of habitats and chemical pollution
- OPEs have previously been reported in samples from the European Arctic even though they have been deemed "non-persistent"



- TnBP and EHDPP in air seem to have local sources
- TPhP air concentrations are increasing significantly
- OPEs are used in various consumer products and are proposed as "environmentally friendly" alternatives for restricted BFRs including PBDEs

Figure 1: Air sampler on the bow of the CCGS Amundsen

Objectives

Through the observation of OPEs over several years and the comparison of land- and ship-based sampling results, we aimed to identify geographic and temporal trends, as well as indications of the processes determining the observed OPE patterns



Figure 2: The most frequently

detected OPEs





Figure 3: Cruise tracks between 2007-2013.

Methods

- 117 active air samples (glass-fibre filter fraction) from ship and 2 land-based sampling stations
- 7 years of sampling (2007-2013)
- Soxhlet extraction overnight with dichloromethane no further cleanup required
- Instrumental analysis using GC-EI-MSD, GC-ECNI-MSD (DB 5 column, 30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm)
- Deuterated and ¹³C isotope labelled standards; recoveries 79 116%

Results and Discussion



Figure 4: Concentration of total non-Cl-OPEs [pg m⁻³] (left) and total Cl-OPEs [pg m⁻³] (right) in the Canadian Arctic

Driving Factors for OPE Patterns and Temporal Trends

- Non-Cl OPEs
 - Local sources for TnBP and EHDPP, in case of TnBP likely from the use in aircraft fuel at the Resolute Bay airport
 - All other non-Cl OPEs seem to have diffuse sources likely from atmospheric transport

Geographic Distribution

- Non-Cl OPEs: no geographic trend (Figure 4)
 - Local sources for TnBP, EHDPP
 - All others: diffuse sources
- Cl-OPEs: significant negative correlation with increasing latitude
 - Sources close to population areas
 - Hotspots around river mouths (Figure 4)

Table 1: Estimated characteristic travel distance (CTD) and persistence (P_{OV}) in air and water for Cl-OPEs (top) and non-Cl OPEs (bottom) by the OECD P_{OV} and LRTP Screening Tool

| | CTD air [km] | | CTD water [km] | | P _{ov} air [h] | | P _{ov} water [h] | |
|-------|-----------------|----|-------------------|-----|-------------------------|------|------------------------------|--|
| TCIPP | 135 | | 523 | | 28 | | 305 | |
| TDCPP | 107 | | 445 | | 239 | | 259 | |
| TCEP | 173 | | 300 | | 51 | | 174 | |
| TTBPP | 2861 | 1 | | 161 | | 0.60 | | |
| ТРРР | 2857 | | 1 | | 90 | | 0.60 | |
| TDMPP | 2808 | 1 | | | 83 | | 0.60 | |
| TEHP | 2601 | 24 | | 23 | | 16 | | |
| EHDPP | 1363 | 80 | | 36 | | 46 | | |
| ТСР | 734 | 93 | | 6.4 | | 0.60 | | |
| TPhP | 434 | 70 | | 6.8 | | 41 | | |
| TnBP | 67 | | 21 | | 0.38 | | 12 | |
| TBEP | 41 | | 73 | | 36 | | 42 | |

- TPhP was only OPE with a significant temporal trend: increase of >100% per year • CI-OPEs
 - Negative correlations with latitude and positive correlations with temperature suggest population areas such as Quebec City as sources
 - Hotspots around the river mouths of the Nelson and Churchill rivers suggest water-based transport, this is congruent with the higher persistence (P_{OV}) in water and therefore higher characteristic travel distance (CTD) in water of Cl-OPEs compared to non-CL OPEs (Table 1) • CI-OPE concentrations seemed to be constant or decreasing, however that could be an

artefact of dilution due to increased river discharge



Acknowledgements:

We are grateful to Terry Bidleman for his guidance and support over the years. We thank Martina Koblizkova, Justin Poole, Cecilia Shin, Autur Pajda, Anya Gawor, Charles Geen, Yushan Su, Camilla Teixeira and Derek Muir for field and/or laboratory support. We thank ArcticNet, Northern Contaminants Program (Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada) and Chemicals Management Plan (Environment and Climate Change Canada) for financial support. We thank the crew of the CCGS Amundsen, the University of Laval, Allison MacHutchson (DFO), Joanne Delaronde (DFO), Alexis Burt (UofM) and Garry Codling (Lancaster University, UK) for help in sampling. We thank the Canadian Forces Station Alert for supporting data collection. The Centre for Global Science, University of Toronto and the Northern Science Training Program for supporting Fiona Wong. Tim Papakyriakou, Brent Else and Bruce Johnston at the University of Manitoba for meteorological data, field support and data processing.